



C. DIPPEL Evaluation of Instruction Program Report

15F: MGMT 406 LEC 1: GLOBAL MACROECONOMY


No. of responses = 19

Enrollment = 41

Response Rate = 46.34%

Survey Results

1. UCLA Anderson School of Management:




Profile

Subunit: MGMT
 Name of the instructor: C. DIPPEL
 Name of the course:
 (Name of the survey) 15F: MGMT 406 LEC 1: GLOBAL MACROECONOMY

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. UCLA Anderson School of Management:



Comments Report

2. Comments:

2.1) Comments to the instructor of this course:

- 1. Please do not assume that everyone in the class has an Econ Major.
- 2. Do not teach only 5 people in the class. Need to engage everyone and check whether everyone is able to follow or not. There are also others who are staring at the wall, not knowing what's going on.
- 3. Don't understand the point of repeating quiz questions in mid-term as well. Why are some questions being tested so many times? Does it improve the learning more?
- Good content for the class and I learned a lot in terms of understanding the big trends. It would be better if the professor can explain the concepts in an easier context for us to understand.
- Great class, loved it!
- Great class. since there were weekly group assignments, workloads were a little bit heavy. but, accordingly, I was able to socialize with group members and enjoyed the class. The final was kind of hard, but was helpful to think about how we can apply what we learned in the class to the real world.
- Great course. I didn't know much about macroeconomy but I learnt a lot in this course. I won't run away the moment I hear the words GDP or currency devaluation now! Just wish it had covered more countries and was more global in it's content.
- I think that Global Macroeconomy is a very worthwhile class, but I think it requires a deeper understanding of economics, more so than I was expecting. I think Professor Dippel is incredibly approachable, and is very knowledgeable about the subject, and if the course was tailored more to current events, coupled with concepts, I think this course would be outstanding. Overall, the class is good, and Dippel is a fantastic professor.
- It is hard to comment, I feel like i learned something or nothing.
- The Professor seemed to get tripped up over concepts discussed in the course early in the Quarter. However, as the course progressed he seemed to be more and more comfortable with the material.

The quizzes at times, seemed to be overly confusing- but not in a way that increased learning from the students. Half the battle was figuring out what was trying to be asked and then develop the answer from there.

But perhaps more than any other Professor at Anderson, Dippel showed a sincere interest in student learning. He engaged with students outside the classroom and very responsive over emails. He is a talented young professor with great potential.
- The class was great! I learned a lot through markstrat simulation. However, the game was also divert my attention from the core learning of the class. I hope that I could learn more on the framework and how I can shape my thought and not fall into the common pitfalls.
- The professor was great - engaging and knowledgable. The class seemed more descriptive and less prescriptive. We focused on reviewing events, but it seemed like content was light for people who haven't taken macro in undergrad studies. It was hard to follow along as someone new to the material. Participation from the class seemed dominated by a few classmates. These comments are meant to help improve the course only; I would absolutely take another class from this professor.